

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2285 06/25/2003 Yutaka Shichiken 2003_0739A 10/602,884 EXAMINER 513 7590 06/24/2004 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. ALI, MOHAMMAD M 2033 K STREET N. W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 800** 3744 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/602,884	SHICHIKEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The BRAU INC DATE of this accomplisation	Mohammad M Ali	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 June 2003</u> .		
·		This action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7 and 10-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Applicat	ion Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
440	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachmen	rt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	-/	(s)/Mail Date`. Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "engine compartment partition wall" for claims 8, 9, 19 and 20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perry et al. (US 20030201096A1). Perry et al. disclose an air conditioning system for a vehicle comprising a blower/evaporator housing case housing and setting a blower rotated by a motor (inherent with fan f) and creating an air flow and an evaporator 1, connected to a coolant piping through which coolant flows in/out side-by-side along a horizontal direction, wherein the blower/evaporator housing case is constituted of two recessed members, which are an upper recessed member, and a lower recessed member (the

Art Unit: 3744

lower recessed member is shown in fig. 2 and the upper recessed member is inherent or can be seen in Fig. 1), separated by a parting line extending along the horizontal direction; an intake unit is connected to an intake port of the blower/evaporator housing case; and an air conditioning unit having a heater core 20 for implementing outlet temperature control and outlet mode control is connected at a cool air outlet port formed toward the downward side of the evaporator 1 at the blower/evaporator housing case. See Fig. 1 and 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 8 and 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry et al. in view of Klingler et al. (6,651,453). Perry et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Perry et al. do not disclose drain hole. Klingler et al. teach the use of drain hole 28 in an air conditioning device for the

Art Unit: 3744

purpose of draining the condensate. See Fig. 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air conditioning system of Perry et al. in view of Klinger et al. such that a drain hole could be provided in order to drain the condensate. Regarding claims 8 and 9, it is obvious that the casing of the blower/evaporator of Perry et al has to be fixed with the engine compartment with some sort of channel/bridge or the like.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry et al. in view of Kim et al. (US 20040035140A1). Perry et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Perry et al. do not disclose the location of the intake port at the upper recess member. Kim et al. teach the use of the location of the intake port and outlet port at the upper recess/upper cover in an air conditioner for the purpose of locating inlet and outlet of air. See Fig 1B and. 2B.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air conditioning system of Perry et al. in view of Kim et al. such that the location of both inlet and outlet could be provided at the upper recessed/cover portion in order to take the air in and out.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 and 10-20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In addition the drawing objection to claims 19 and 20 must be resolved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 4:30pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Mohammad M. Ali

June 23, 2003